Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727

Collection Date:

November 21, 2006

LDC Report Date:

March 28, 2007

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Wet Chemistry

Validation Level:

Tier 2 & 3

Laboratory:

TestAmerica

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IPK2470

Sample Identification

IWC001_WG112106_0001** MWC024_WG112106_001

^{**}Indicates sample underwent Tier 3 review

Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 300.0 for Bromide, Chloride, Nitrate, Nitrite, and Sulfate, EPA Method 310.1 for Alkalinity, and EPA Method 415.1 Total Organic Carbon.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Tier 3 review. A Tier 2 review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Tier 2 criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration of each method were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration	Associated Samples
мв	Sulfate Chloride	0.165 mg/L 0.220 mg/L	All samples in SDG IPK2470
ICB/CCB	Chloride	0.194 mg/L 0.189 mg/L	All samples in SDG IPK2470

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Tier 3 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Tier 2 criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727 Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IPK2470

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727 Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IPK2470

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax:(949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing

701 N. Parkcenter Drive

Santa Ana, CA 92705 Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan Project ID: Boeing C-6 Torrance

EM2727

Report Number: IPK2470

Sampled: 11/21/06

Received: 11/21/06

INORGANICS

Analyte	Method	Batch	MDL Limit	Reporting Limit	Sample Result			Date Analyzed	Data Qualifiers
Sample ID: IPK2470-07 (IWC001_V Reporting Units: mg/l	VG112106_0001	- Water)		·					
Alkalinity as CaCO3	EPA 310.1	6L04068	2.0	2.0	200	1	12/04/06	12/04/06	
Bromide	EPA 300.0	6L01049	1.8	2.5	2.8	5	12/01/06	12/01/06	
Chloride	EPA 300.0	6L01049	5.0	25	280	50	12/01/06	12/02/06	
Nitrate-NO3	EPA 300.0	6K22067	0.25	0.50	17	1	11/22/06	11/22/06	
Nitrite-NO2	EPA 300.0	6K22067	0.30	0.50	ND	1	11/22/06	11/22/06	
Sulfate	EPA 300.0	6K22067	0.15	0.50	52	1	11/22/06	11/22/06	
Total Organic Carbon	EPA 415.1	6L03024	0.50	1.0	ND	1	12/03/06	12/03/06	
Sample ID: IPK2470-09 (MWC024_) Reporting Units: mg/l	WG112106_000	1 - Water)							
Alkalinity as CaCO3	EPA 310.1	6L04068	2.0	2.0	180	- 1	12/04/06	12/04/06	
Bromide	EPA 300.0	6L01049	1.8	2.5	2.6	5	12/01/06	12/02/06	•
Chloride	EPA 300.0	6L01049	5.0	25	480	50	12/01/06	12/02/06	
Nitrate-NO3	EPA 300.0	6K22067	0.25	0.50	25	1	11/22/06	11/22/06	٠.
Nitrite-NO2	EPA 300.0	6K22067	0.30	0.50	ND	1	11/22/06	11/22/06	
Sulfate	EPA 300.0	6K22067	0.15	0.50	37	1	11/22/06	11/22/06	
Total Organic Carbon	EPA 415.1	6L03024	0.50	1.0	ND	1	12/03/06	12/03/06	

X040407

SDG 7	t: 16470 <u>A6</u> #: IPK2470 atory: <u>Test America</u>	VALI	ALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET EPA Region 1 - Tier 2/3						Date: 3/2//or Page:tof/ Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer:
The s	IOD: Alkalinity (EPA Method 4 TOC (EPA Method 4 amples listed below were toon findings worksheets.	15.1)					• ,		
			<u>. </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
<u> </u>	Validation A	rea		<u> </u>				omments	
I.	Technical holding times			<i>P</i>	Samplin	g date	s: 4/21/06		·
lla.	Initial calibration			P					
IIb.	Calibration verification			<i>A</i>					
111.	Blanks			5W					
IVa.	Matrix Spike/(Matrix Spike) Du	uplicates	<u> </u>	A	M4/1	wso /1	enp		
IVb.	Laboratory control samples			A	uz	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
V	Sample result verification			· A	Not revi	ewed 1	for Tier II validation		
VI.	Overall assessment of data			A					
VII.	Field duplicates			h					
VIII.	Field blanks			N				F	
Note: Validate	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ed Samples: ** Indicates sample	e underv	R = Rin FB = Fi	eld blank	s detected	i	D = Duplicate TB = Trip blan EB = Equipme		
1	IWC001_WG112106_0001**	11				21		31	
2	MWC024_WG112106_001	12				22		32	
3	MB	13				23		33	
4		14				24		34	
5		15				25		35	
6		16				26		36	
6 7		17				27		37	
8		18				28		38	

Notes:_

LDC #:	16470A6
SDG #:	1/K>470

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1	_of_	1
Reviewer:_	HH.	
2nd Reviewer:		
	-	

Method:Inorganics (EPA Method See wee)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
######################################	100			1 mongoodimeno
All technical holding times were met.	V			
Coolor temperature criteria was met.	1			
IP.Caimi atom				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	V			
Were the proper number of standards used?	1			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients > 0.995?	/			·
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	/			
Were titrant checks performed as required? (Level IV only)				
Were balance checks performed as required? (Level IV only)		rodał upppodnia try		<u>-</u>
Illustanicise.				作为基本以外,并对对
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?				
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	1	′	·	
iv Manx spike Mauxispike būpicaies said buiplicaies sa				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	1			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	1			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL(≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5X the CRDL.	1			
VaPaboration control samples s				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	И]		`
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	1			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	/		2000	
VI, Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				THE STATE OF THE S
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			U	
Were the performance evaluation (PF) samples within the acceptance limits?		1	1	

LDC#:	16490	A6
SDG #:	See	cour

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page:_	Lof L
Reviewer:	`44'
2nd Reviewer:	

	7		T	
Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	1			
Were detection limits < RL?	1			·
VIII Overalla sessoientor datale se a propieta de la comunicación de l				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
Redapidation to the state of th				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		\		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			1	
Speciplars that the second of			nd E	
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		V		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.				

LDC #: 1641046 SDG #: See com

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Specific Analysis Reference

	Page:	_of_	
Re	eviewer:	MA	
2nd re	eviewer:_		
		_	

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
112	PH TDS (G) F NO NO SO PO AUX CN NH TKN (TOO CRO+ (Br)
	PH TDS CLF NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN NH, TKN TOC CR8+
	PH TDS CIF NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN NH, TKN TOC CR8+
	PH TDS CLF NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN' NH3 TKN TOC CRO+
	PH TDS CIF NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN' NH, TKN TOC CR6+
	pH TDS CIF NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CNT NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
	pH TDS CLF NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁸⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN NH, TKN TOC CR TO
	pH TDS CLF NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN NH3 TKN TOC CR6+
	PH TDS CLF NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	PH TDS CI F NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
	pH TDS CI F NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN' NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁸⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN' NH3 TKN TOC CR6+
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO3 NO2 SO4 PO4 ALK CN NH3 TKN TOC CR8+
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	ph tds ci f No _s No ₂ So ₄ Po ₄ Alk cn NH ₃ TKN toc CR ⁸⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁵⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁸⁺
	pH TDS CI F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁸⁺
	ph tds ci f No ₃ No ₂ So ₄ Po ₄ Alk Cn' Nh ₃ TKN toc CR ⁶⁺
	PH TDS CI F NO, NO, SO, PO, ALK CN NH, TKN TOC CROT

Comments:	

METHODS.6

LDC #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: Reviewer; 2nd Reviewer

Blanks

METHOD: Inorganios, Method

	F	7		1	T	7	1	$\overline{}$. 1	1	1	7		ı	T		$\overline{}$	_	7	Т	Ť
					-									-							
(文)						-						- <u> </u>									
	Sample [dentification	a regulation																-	-		
A1 (7次)	Samo														-					-	
Associated Samples: A				-									-								
Assor																					
	Blank	Action Limit	0,97	-																	
Conc. units: wath	Maximum		0,194	0,139														,			
	Blank (D	MB	P61 0,194	2,20	_																
	Analyte		hos	ಶ	X																

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED, ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT; All contaminants within five times the method blank corcentration were qualified as not detected, *U;

16470AL SDG #:

Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: of 2nd Reviewer: Reviewer:__

see cole

METHOD: Inorganics, Method

2018/51 was recalculated. Calibration date: 9 The correlation coefficient (i) for the calibration of An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

Where, %R = Found x 100 True

Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

			× 1,400,0		Recalculated	Reported	
Type of Analysis	Analyte		cort (units)	(units)	r or %R	ror%R	Acceptable (Y/N)
initial calibration		Blenk	Q	0			
Calibration verification		Standard 1		444			
		Standard 2	ro r	6209			
	,	Standard 3	aβ	21870	Samo	1000 ×	-7-
	700	Standard 4			. oltona	Lh.o/ \	
		Standard 5					
		Standard 6					
		Standard 7					
Calibration verification	3	0)	716		26	N.P.	>-
Calibration verification	3	. 4]	(50		503		
Calibration verification	700	٩٦	9,49	F	96	<u></u>	-

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results._

CALCLC.6

(64%) LDC #:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

2nd Reviewer: Reviewer:

METHOD: Inorganics, Method

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

Where, %R = Found x 100

Found =

True =

concentration of each analyte <u>measured</u> in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result). concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

RPD = $\frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2}$ × 100 Where,

က ြ လ ಧ

Original sample concentration Duplicate sample concentration

					Recalculated	Керопес		_
Ci elames	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	%R / RPD	%R/RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)	
	Laboratory control sample					40		
3		tas	5	<i>&)</i>	65	,	\(\frac{1}{2} \)	
	Matrix spike sample		(SSR-SR)					·
+46×314		20	5.6)	500	113	MS		
-	Duplicate sample							
Throad		7 7	130	87	7	0	5	1

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated

TOTOLO:8

LDC #: SDG #:	16490Ab : See cover	VALIDATION FINDINGS W Sample Calculation Ver		Page Reviewei 2nd reviewei	: <u>`w1</u>
METHO	DD: Inorganics, Method	Sel com			
NNI	N/A Have results b N/A Are results with	or for all questions answered "N". Not ap een reported and calculated correctly? hin the calibrated range of the instrume on limits below the CRQL?		re identified as "i	N/A".
Compo recalcu	ound (analyte) results for lated and verified using	the following equation:	repo	rted with a positiv	e detect were
Concent	ration =	Recalculation:			
		14x298.3587X(120312/1)	2+634,044)	+ 61860822	-61860.52
	₩3=	Recalculation: 14×398-3587×(12035)トロンメ 3	198,358°n		= (/,
#	Sample ID	Analyto	Reported Concentration (W//L)	Calculated Concentration	Acceptable (Y/N)
	1	AIK	200	200	У
		AK Br	2.8	2-8	,
		d	280	280	
		MOZ	17	17	
		504	52	52	4
					
					-
-					
-					
					1
-					
-					
11	†				1

RECALC.6